# Lecture 6 - Sparse Programming Systems 

Stanford CS343D (Winter 2024)<br>Fred Kjolstad



## Terminology: Regular and Irregular

Fully Connected System



Regular System


Irregular System


Three classes of irregular systems

Road Networks
Fractional Sparsity


Power Law Graphs


How sparse is graph/relational data? Often asymptotically sparse.

Conditioned Meshes


Power-law graphs

Assume an average degree of 150 (e.g., 150 friends)
Each matrix row then has 150 nonzeros

$$
\text { At } 10,000 \text { rows: } \frac{150 \cdot 10,000}{10,000^{2}}=1.5 \% \text { nonzeros }
$$

$$
\text { At 100,000 rows: } \frac{150 \cdot 100,000}{100,000^{2}}=0.15 \% \text { nonzeros }
$$

Matrix components: $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ Nonzeros: $O(n)$
Fraction of nonzeros: $O(1 / n)$

# Terminology: Dense and Sparse 

Dense loop iteration space


```
for (int i = 0; i < m; i++) {
    for (int j = 0; j < n; j++) { \(y[i]+=A[i * n+j] * x[j]\);
    }
}
```

Sparse loop iteration space


```
for (int i = 0; i < m; i++) {
    for (int pA = A2_pos[i]; pA < A_pos[i+1]; pA++) {
        int j = A_crd[pA]
        y[i] += A[pA] * x[j];
    }
}
```

$$
y=A x
$$

$$
y=A x
$$

## Three sparse applications areas



# Relations, graphs, and tensors share a lot of structure but are specialized for different purposes 



Triangle counting on graphs, relations, and tensors

On graphs


On relations
On tensors
$\frac{1}{6} \operatorname{trace}\left(A^{3}\right)$.

## Some important developments in compilers and programming languages for sparse compilers

- 1960s: Development of libraries for sparse linear algebra
- 1970s: Relational algebra and the first relational database management systems: System R and INGRES
- 1980s: SQL is developed and has commercial success
- 1990s: Matlab gets sparse matrices and some dense to sparse linear algebra compilers are developed
- 2000s: Sparse linear algebra libraries for supercomputers and GPUs
- 2010s: Graph processing libraries become popular, compilers for databases, and compilers for sparse tensor algebra

Parallelism, locality, work efficiency still matters, but the key is choosing efficient data structures


| Harry | CS |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sally | EE |
| George | CS |
| Mary | ME |
| Rita | CS |


| Harry | Sally | George | Mary | Rita |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CS | EE | CS | ME | CS |



Sparse data structures in graphs, tensors, and relations encode coordinates in a sparse iteration space
Tensor (nonzeros)
$(0,1)$
$(2,3) \quad(0,5)$
$(5,5) \quad(7,5)$

| Relation (rows) | Graph (edges) |
| :---: | :---: |
| (Harry,CS) (Sally,EE) | $\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{~V}_{5}\right) \quad\left(\mathrm{v}_{4}, \mathrm{~V}_{3}\right)$ |
| (George,CS) | $\left(\mathrm{V}_{5}, \mathrm{~V}_{3}\right)$ |
| (Rita,CS) (Mary,ME) | $\left(\mathrm{v}_{3}, \mathrm{v}_{5}\right) \quad\left(\mathrm{v}_{3}, \mathrm{v}_{1}\right)$ |

Values may be attached to these coordinates: e.g., nonzero values, edge attributes

Hierarchically compressed data structures (tries) reduce the number of values that need to be stored


Iteration over sparse iteration spaces imply coiteration over sparse data structures

Linear Algebra: $A=B+C$<br>Tensor Index Notation: $A_{i j}=B_{i j}+C_{i j}$<br>Iteration Space: $B_{i j} \cup C_{i j}$


union because $x+0=x$


## Merged coiteration

Coordinate Space

$$
a_{i}=b_{i} \epsilon_{i} c_{i}
$$



## Merged coiteration code

Intersection $b \cap c$
}

```
```

```
int pb = b_pos[0];
```

```
int pb = b_pos[0];
int pc = c_pos[0];
int pc = c_pos[0];
while (pb < b_pos[1] && pc < c_pos[1]) {
while (pb < b_pos[1] && pc < c_pos[1]) {
    int ib = b_crd[pb];
    int ib = b_crd[pb];
    int ic = c_crd[pc];
    int ic = c_crd[pc];
    int i = min(ib, ic);
    int i = min(ib, ic);
    if (ib == i && ic == i) {
    if (ib == i && ic == i) {
        a[i] = b[pb] * c[pc];
        a[i] = b[pb] * c[pc];
    }
    }
    if (ib == i) pb++;
    if (ib == i) pb++;
    if (ic == i) pc++;
```

    if (ic == i) pc++;
    ```


\section*{Union \(b \cup c\)}
```

```
int pb = b_pos[0];
```

```
int pb = b_pos[0];
int pc = c_pos[0];
int pc = c_pos[0];
while (pb < b_pos[1] && pc < c_pos[1]) {
while (pb < b_pos[1] && pc < c_pos[1]) {
    int ib = b_crd[pb];
    int ib = b_crd[pb];
    int ic = c_crd[pc];
    int ic = c_crd[pc];
    int i = min(ib, ic);
    int i = min(ib, ic);
    if (ib == i && ic == i) {
    if (ib == i && ic == i) {
        a[i] = b[pb] + c[pc];
        a[i] = b[pb] + c[pc];
    }
    }
    else if (ib == i) {
    else if (ib == i) {
        a[i] = b[pb];
        a[i] = b[pb];
    }
    }
    else {
    else {
        a[i] = c[pc];
        a[i] = c[pc];
    }
    }
    if (ib == i) pb++;
    if (ib == i) pb++;
    if (ic == i) pc++;
    if (ic == i) pc++;
}
}
while (pb < b_pos[1]) {
while (pb < b_pos[1]) {
    int i = b_crd[pb];
    int i = b_crd[pb];
    a[i] = b[pb++];
    a[i] = b[pb++];
}
}
while (pc < c_pos[1]) {
while (pc < c_pos[1]) {
    int i = c_crd[pc];
    int i = c_crd[pc];
    a[i] = c[pc++];
    a[i] = c[pc++];
}
```

}

```
```

    b
    ```
```

    b
    ```
c

\section*{Iterate-and-locate examples (intersection)}
\[
a=\sum_{i} b_{i} c_{i}
\]

```

for (int pb = b_pos[0]; pb < b_pos[1]; pb ++) {
int i = b_crd[pb];
a += b[pb] * c[i];
}

```

\section*{Separation of Algorithm, Data Representation, and Schedule}
```

